Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/591,800	DAI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	CAROLYN SMITH	1631
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>allowed</u>		
(1) <u>CAROLYN SMITH</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Tineka Quinton</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 1 April 2011	Time: <u>11am</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: 54, 58-67, 94-104		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
4/4/11 /Carolyn Smith/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1631 (A	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Tineka Quinton agreed to the following Examiner's Amendment: In claim 54, line 31, after "genes"; insert "in". In claim 61, line 3, after "2"; insert "."..